

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 23, 2008
<b>Received date:</b> Not specified
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 8071c161
<b>Comments Due:</b> October 08, 2008
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** MSHA-2008-0011

Alcohol- and Drug-Free Mines: Policy, Prohibitions, Testing, Training, and Assistance

**Comment On:** MSHA-2008-0011-0001

Alcohol- and Drug-Free Mines: Policy, Prohibitions, Testing, Training, and Assistance

**Document:** MSHA-2008-0011-DRAFT-0014

Comment from James Peterson, Lehigh Cement Co.

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** James Keith Peterson

**Address:**

1015 Sunnyside Rd.

York, PA, 17408

**Email:** jkpeteson@msn.com

**Phone:** 717-676-8647

**Organization:** Lehigh Cement Co.

---

## General Comment

As a union member I would like to speak to this proposal. In past contract negotiations the company wanted random drug and alcohol testing for selected individuals. I opposed this. I would support testing for all individuals onsite including contractors, office workers who must move about the site for inventory of raw materials, control room operators, vendors, or anyone who moves about in an area where their drug or alcohol use could present a danger to themselves or others. I work in a cement manufacturing facility. Any intoxicated person on site can present a danger. Beware of being selective. I'm certainly not a legal genius but if your selections are not fair and equitable you can expect lawsuits from union members, especially if a death or injury occurs from a group or individual you feel should be exempt from testing.

AB41-COMM-19