

*Consent\_Decree.html*. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$9.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury or, if requesting by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-17803 Filed 7-24-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration Submission for OMB

#### Emergency Review: Revision of OMB, 1205-0392, Trade Act Participant Report (TAPR), Comment Request

July 17, 2009.

The Department of Labor has submitted the following information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and 5 CFR 1320.13. OMB approval has been requested by August 7, 2009. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov). Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-5806/Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), E-mail: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov). Comments and questions about the ICR

listed below should be received 5 days prior to the requested OMB approval date.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title of Collection:* "Trade Act Participant Report".

*OMB Control Number:* 1205-0392.

*Frequency of Collection:* Quarterly.

*Affected Public:* State, Local or Tribal Governments.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 47.5 hours per quarterly submission.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 9,500 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Cost Burden:* \$0.

*Description:* On February 17, 2009, the President signed the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009 (TGAAA) which amended the Trade Act of 1974, including the provision of new data collection requirements on TAA participant activities and outcomes. The proposed revision of OMB 1205-0392 "Trade Act Participant Report" is designed to provide a single integrated collection format that will meet new reporting requirements listed in amendments to the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2311 and 2323) through TGAAA, which is part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). The new law provided an extensive list of newly mandated data requirements that included specific data elements, display of data according to select criteria, performance measures, and control measures designed to enforce data reliability and validity on TAA program participation and outcome data.

*Why are we requesting Emergency Processing?* This collection is submitted on an emergency clearance basis, because ARRA (Section 1891) mandates the implementation of these new criteria reporting, listed in 19 U.S.C. 2323 *et seq.*, as amended, by August 17, 2009.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9-17774 Filed 7-24-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection Request; Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Petitions for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standards

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the extension of the information collection related to the 30 CFR Sections 44.9, 44.10, and 44.11; Petitions for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standards.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before September 25, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, John Rowlett, Director, Management Services Division, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2134, Arlington, VA 22209-3939. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments on a computer disk or via E-mail to [Rowlett.John@dol.gov](mailto:Rowlett.John@dol.gov), along with an original printed copy. Mr. Rowlett can be reached at (202) 693-9827 (voice), or (202) 693-9801 (facsimile).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Contact the employee listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act), 30 U.S.C. 811(c), provides that a mine operator or a representative of miners may petition the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) to modify the application of a mandatory safety standard. 30 CFR Part 44 formally delegates the Secretary's authority to receive petitions to the Director of the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances and the authority to issue proposed decisions to the Administrators for Coal and Metal/Nonmetal. A petition for modification may be granted if the Secretary determines (1) that an alternative method of achieving the results of the standard exists and that it will guarantee, at all times, no less than the same measure of protection for the miners affected as that afforded by the standard, or (2) that the application of the standard will result in a diminution of safety to the miners affected.

**II. Desired Focus of Comments**

MSHA is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice, or viewed on the internet by accessing the MSHA home page (<http://www.msha.gov/>) and selecting "Rules & Regs", and then selecting "FedReg. Docs". On the next screen, select "Paperwork Reduction Act Supporting Statement" to view

documents supporting the **Federal Register** Notice.

**III. Current Actions**

Under 30 CFR 44.9, mine operators must post a copy of each petition for modification concerning the mine on the mine's bulletin board and maintain the posting until a ruling on the petition becomes final. This applies only to mines for which there is no representative of miners.

Under 30 CFR 44.10, detailed guidance for filing a petition for modification is provided for the operator of the affected mine or any representative of the miners at that mine. The petition must be in writing, filed with the Director of the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, and a copy of the petition served by the filing party (the mine operator or representative of miners) on the other party.

Under 30 CFR 44.11(a), the petition for modification must contain the petitioner's name and address; the mailing address and mine identification number of the mine or mines affected; the mandatory safety standard to which the petition is directed; a concise statement of the modification requested and whether the petitioner (1) Proposes to establish an alternate method in lieu of the mandatory safety standard, or (2) alleges that application of the standard will result in diminution of safety to the miners affected, or (3) requests relief based on both grounds; a detailed statement of the facts that show the grounds upon which a modification is claimed or warranted; and, if the petitioner is a mine operator, the identity of any representative of miners at the affected mine.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration.

*Title:* Petitions for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standards.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0065.

*Recordkeeping:* Under 30 CFR 44.9, mine operators must post a copy of each petition for modification concerning the mine on the mine's bulletin board and maintain the posting until a ruling on the petition becomes final. This applies only to mines for which there is no representative of miners.

Under 30 CFR 44.10, the petition must be in writing, filed with the Director of the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, and a copy of the petition served by the filing party (the mine operator or representative of miners) on the other party.

Under 30 CFR 44.11(a), the petition for modification must contain the petitioner's name and address; the

mailing address and mine identification number of the mine or mines affected; the mandatory safety standard to which the petition is directed; a concise statement of the modification requested and whether the petitioner (1) Proposes to establish an alternate method in lieu of the mandatory safety standard, or (2) alleges that application of the standard will result in diminution of safety to the miners affected, or (3) requests relief based on both grounds; a detailed statement of the facts that show the grounds upon which a modification is claimed or warranted; and, if the petitioner is a mine operator, the identity of any representative of miners at the affected mine.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Respondents:* 80.

*Responses:* 80.

*Total Burden Hours:* 2,560.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):* \$32,357.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated at Arlington, Virginia, this 21st day of July, 2009.

**John Rowlett,**

*Director, Management Services Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-17843 Filed 7-24-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-43-P**

**OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET**

**Proposed Revision of the Policy on Web Tracking Technologies for Federal Web Sites**

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is considering options for revising the current prohibition on Web tracking technologies (such as persistent cookies) and invites public comments on the policy that would govern the use of such technologies. The goal of this review is for the Federal Government to continue to protect the privacy of people who visit Federal Government Web sites while at the same time making these Web sites more user-friendly, providing better customer service, and allowing for enhanced Web analytics.

Supporting Statement  
IC Title: Petitions for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standards

<u>OMB</u>	<u>30 CFR</u>	<u>CFR Title</u>
<u>CONTROL</u>	<u>Provision</u>	
1219-0065	§ 44.9	Posting of petition.
	§ 44.10	Filing of petition; service.
	§ 44.11	Contents of petition.

**1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.**

Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act), 30 U.S.C. § 811(c), provides that a mine operator or a representative of miners may petition the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) to modify the application of a mandatory safety standard. A petition for modification may be granted if the Secretary determines (1) that an alternative method of achieving the results of the standard exists and that it will guarantee, at all times, no less than the same measure of protection for the miners affected as that afforded by the standard, or (2) that the application of the standard will result in a diminution of safety to the miners affected.

Under 30 CFR 44.9, mine operators must post a copy of each petition for modification concerning the mine on the mine's bulletin board and maintain the posting until a ruling on the petition becomes final. This applies only to mines for which there is no representative of miners.

Under 30 CFR 44.10, detailed guidance for filing a petition for modification is provided for the operator of the affected mine or any representative of the miners at that mine. The petition must be in writing, filed with the Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, and a copy of the petition served by the filing party (the mine operator or representative of miners) on the other party.

Under 30 CFR 44.11(a), the petition for modification must contain the petitioner's name and address; the mailing address and mine identification number of the mine or mines affected; the mandatory safety standard to which the petition is directed; a concise statement of the modification requested and whether the petitioner (1) proposes to establish an alternate method in lieu of the mandatory safety standard, or (2) alleges that application of the standard will result in diminution of safety to the miners affected, or (3) requests relief based on both grounds; a detailed statement of the facts that show the grounds upon which a modification is claimed or warranted; and, if the petitioner is a

mine operator, the identity of any representative of miners at the affected mine.

**2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

Promptly upon receipt of a petition, MSHA publishes a notice in the Federal Register advising interested parties that they may provide comments or other relevant information on the proposed modification. Thereafter, MSHA conducts an investigation to determine the merits of the petition for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant it and, if granted, whether there is a need for any additional terms or conditions.

**3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.**

MSHA accepts but does not require electronic submission of petitions for modification. Approximately 6% of the submissions were submitted electronically over the last 3 year period. In order to comply with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, mine operators may retain the records in whatever method they chose, which may include utilizing computer technology.

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.**

A petition for modification is unique to each mine. There is no similar or duplicate information that could be used. The specific information required in a petition is readily available to the petitioner.

**5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize burden.**

This information does not have a significant impact on small businesses or other small entities.

**6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

Each petition for modification must be investigated by MSHA on a mine-by-mine basis and a decision reached on the merits. A mine operator may only request modification of one mandatory safety standard for each petition. However, a mine operator may file a

petition for more than one mine by showing that identical issues of law and fact exist for each mine.

**7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:**

- \* requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;**
- \* requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
- \* requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
- \* requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than three years;**
- \* in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;**
- \* requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
- \* that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
- \* requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

30 CFR 44.9 requires that an operator of a mine for which there is no representative of miners post a copy of each petition on the mine bulletin board and maintain the posting until a ruling on the petition becomes final. Otherwise, the requirements under these standards are consistent with the general information collection guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.

**8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.**

**Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**

**Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years - even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior**

**periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.**

MSHA published a 60-day Federal Register notice on August 3, 2012 (77 FR 46525). One comment was received. The comment supported the continued collection of the information and suggested no changes. The comment is supportive and consistent with the published notice.

**9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.**

MSHA does not provide payments or gifts to respondents.

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

No assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents.

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

**12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:**

**\* Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**

**\* If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.**

**\* Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 13.**

MSHA records indicate that 80 mine operators submit 1 petition each for modification per year for coal and metal and nonmetal mines. MSHA estimates that approximately **80 percent** of those petitions (64 petitions) are prepared by mine operators and 20 percent are prepared by third-party sources. MSHA does not anticipate a significant increase or decrease in the number of petitions for modification received over the next 3 years. MSHA estimates that it takes approximately 40 hours to prepare each petition for modification.

Burden hours:

**64** petitions x 40 hours/petition = **2,560** hours

Burden hour cost:

**2,560** hours x **\$68.53** per hour (average hourly wage for mine supervisory personnel, based on data taken from the U.S. Coal Mine and Metal and Industrial Mineral Mine Salaries, Wages, and Benefits **2010** Survey Results) = **\$175,437**

The burden on mine operators to post copies of the petition for modification at the mine is minimal and therefore, no cost burden is assigned to these requirements.

TOTAL BURDEN HOURS = **2,560** hours

TOTAL BURDEN HOUR COSTS = **\$175,437**

All wages in this Supporting Statement include a 1.4 multiplier to reflect a fully-loaded wage rate.

**13. Provide an estimate for the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14).**

**\* The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.**

**\* If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collections services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with**

a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

\* Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

MSHA estimates that approximately 20% of the petitions for modification (16 petitions) are prepared by independent legal counsel, and that it takes approximately 16 hours to prepare a petition. The average hourly rate of independent counsel is estimated to be \$145 per hour.

**16** petitions x 16 hours per petition = **256** hours

**256** hours x \$145 per hour = **\$37,120**

MSHA estimates that approximately **75** petitions are submitted by mail, with the remaining **5** submitted electronically. MSHA is of the opinion that the burden on mine operators to submit a petition for modification electronically is minimal and has assigned no cost burden.

Estimated mailing costs for petitions for modification:

**75** petitions x \$5.25 per petition = **\$394**

(8 ounces of first class mail + certified mail charge)

**TOTAL COST BURDEN = \$ 37,514**

**14. Provide estimates of annualized costs to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies may also aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

MSHA estimates that the initial processing and preparation of a Federal Register notice announcing that a petition for modification has been filed by a mine operator takes an MSHA administrative staff person approximately three hours to prepare:

**80** notices x 3 hours per notice = **240** hours

**240** hours x **\$51.33** per hour = **\$12,320**

(average hourly wage, including benefits, of a GS 11 Federal employee, according to 2010 Office of Personnel Management employment data)

The cost for publication of the notice of receipt and summary of petitions granted in the Federal Register is approximately \$174 per column. Petition-related notices average 3 petitions per column.

$$80 \text{ petition notices} \times 0.333 \text{ column per notice} \times \$174 \text{ per column} = \mathbf{\$4,635}$$

Of the 80 petitions for modification that are received, MSHA estimates that approximately **55% (44 petitions)** are approved. The cost to MSHA of publishing notices of approved petitions is as follows:

$$44 \text{ petition notices} \times 0.333 \text{ column/notice} \times \$174 \text{ per column} = \mathbf{\$2,549}$$

---

The investigation and preparation of the investigative report for each petition for modification filed with MSHA takes an inspector (GS 12) approximately 40 hours. Travel expenses are not included because inspectors are frequently at the mines.

$$80 \text{ investigations} \times 40 \text{ hours per investigation} = \mathbf{3,200 \text{ hours}}$$

$$3,200 \text{ hours} \times \mathbf{\$61.32} \text{ per hour (average hourly wage, including benefits, of a GS 12 Federal employee)} = \mathbf{\$196,224}$$

Mailing costs:

$$80 \text{ investigative reports} \times \$5.25 \text{ per report} = \mathbf{\$420}$$

---

MSHA estimates that review of the petition for modification and investigative report, and preparation of a proposed decision takes a government Specialist (GS 13) approximately 24 hours per petition (due to their complexity) for half of the petitions submitted and approximately 2.5 hours per petition for the remaining petitions.

$$40 \text{ petitions} \times 24 \text{ hours} = \mathbf{960 \text{ hours}}$$

$$40 \text{ petitions} \times 2.5 \text{ hours} = \mathbf{100 \text{ hours}}$$
$$\mathbf{1,060 \text{ hours}}$$

$$1,060 \text{ hours} \times \mathbf{\$72.49} \text{ per hour (average hourly wage, including benefits, of a GS 13 Federal employee)} = \mathbf{\$76,839}$$

---

Additionally, MSHA estimates that review of the petition for modification and investigative report and review of MSHA's proposed decision takes a government staff attorney (GS 14) approximately 2.5 hours per petition for half of the petitions and approximately 8 hours for the remaining petitions.

$$40 \text{ petitions} \times 8 \text{ hours} = \mathbf{320 \text{ hours}}$$

$$40 \text{ petitions} \times 2.5 \text{ hours} = \mathbf{100 \text{ hours}}$$
$$\mathbf{420 \text{ hours}}$$

420 hours x \$ 85.40 per hour  
(average hourly wage, including benefits, of a GS 14 Federal employee) = \$35,868

**Total burden hours = 4,920**

**Total Cost to the Federal Government = \$328,435**

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form 83-I.**

There is no change in burden. The number of respondents and responses remain at 80, and burden hours remain the same at 2,560.

The total cost burden to the mine operators will increase (from \$32,357 to \$37,514) mainly as a result of inflation, wage increase, and cost of mailing petitions.

However, the estimated total cost burden to the Federal government will increase because of inflation, mailing cost, and a more inclusive definition of wages.

**16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

There are no statistical aspects.

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

There are no forms on which to display the expiration date.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19, "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission," of OMB 83-I.**

There are no certification exceptions identified with this information collection.

**B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS**

The collection of this information does not employ statistical methods.

## **Federal Mine Safety & Health Act of 1977 (the Mine Act)**

SEC. 101. (c) Upon petition by the operator or the representative of miners, the Secretary may modify the application of any mandatory safety standard to a coal or other mine if the Secretary determines that an alternative method of achieving the result of such standard exists which will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners of such mine by such standard, or that the application of such standard to such mine will result in a diminution of safety to the miners in such mine. Upon receipt of such petition the Secretary shall publish notice thereof and give notice to the operator or the representative of miners in the affected mine, as appropriate, and shall cause such investigation to be made as he deems appropriate. Such investigation shall provide an opportunity for a public hearing at the request of such operator or representative or other interested party, to enable the operator or the representative of miners in such mine or other interested party to present information relating to the modification of such standard. Before granting any exception to a mandatory safety standard, the findings of the Secretary or his authorized representative shall be made public and shall be available to the representative of the miners at the affected mine. The Secretary shall issue a decision incorporating his findings of fact therein, and send a copy thereof to the operator or the representative of the miners, as appropriate. Any such hearing shall be of record and shall be subject to section 554 of title 5 of the United States Code.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES**

SEC. 507. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the provisions of sections 551-559 and sections 701-706 of title 5 of the United States Code shall not apply to the making of any order, notice, or decision made pursuant to this Act, or to any proceeding for the review thereof.

### **30 CFR PART 44 - RULES OF PRACTICE FOR PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION OF MANDATORY SAFETY STANDARDS**

#### **§ 44.9 Posting of petition.**

An operator of a mine for which there is no representative of miners shall post a copy of each petition concerning the mine on the mine bulletin board and shall maintain the posting until a ruling on the petition becomes final.

#### **§ 44.10 Filing of petition; service.**

A petition for modification of the application of a mandatory safety standard under section 101(c) of the Act may be filed only by the operator of the affected mine or any representative of the miners at such mine. All petitions must be in writing and must be filed with the Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2352, Arlington, Virginia

22209-3939. If the petition is filed by a mine operator, a copy of the petition shall be served by the mine operator upon a representative of miners at the affected mine. If the petition is filed by a representative of the miners, a copy of the petition shall be served by the representative of miners upon the mine operator. Service shall be accomplished personally or by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested.

§ 44.11 Contents of petition.

(a) A petition for modification filed pursuant to [§44.10](#) shall contain:

- (1) The name and address of the petitioner.
  - (2) The mailing address and mine identification number of the mine or mines affected.
  - (3) The mandatory safety standard to which the petition is directed.
  - (4) A concise statement of the modification requested, and whether the petitioner proposes to establish an alternate method in lieu of the mandatory safety standard or alleges that application of the standard will result in diminution of safety to the miners affected or requests relief based on both grounds.
  - (5) A detailed statement of the facts the petitioner would show to establish the grounds upon which it is claimed a modification is warranted.
  - (6) Identification of any representative of the miners at the affected mine, if the petitioner is a mine operator.
- (b) A petition for modification shall not include a request for modification of the application of more than one mandatory safety standard. A petition for modification shall not request relief for more than one operator. However, an operator may file a petition for modification pertaining to more than one mine where it can be shown that identical issues of law and fact exist as to the petition for each mine.